

On Some Integral Inequalities of Gronwall Type

Atta A.K. AbuHany ⁽¹⁾, Sh. Salem ⁽²⁾, Ibrahim M. Salman ⁽³⁾

⁽¹⁾ Department of Mathematics, Al-Azhar University of Gaza, Gaza, Palestine, E.Mail: attahany@yahoo.com

⁽²⁾ Department of Mathematics, Al-Azhar University, Nasr City, 11884, Cairo, Egypt

⁽³⁾ Department of Mathematics, Al-Aqsa University, Gaza, Palestine.

Received: 29 September 2004 / Accepted: 5 February 2005

Abstract: In this paper, some integral inequalities of Gronwall type in more than one variable are presented. Some applications are presented also.

Keywords: Gronwall integral inequalities, Two and three independent variables, Hyperbolic equations .

INTRODUCTION

Inequalities play an important role in almost all branches of mathematics. The integral inequalities involving functions of one and more than one independent variables play a fundamental role in the study of differential and integral equations. By means of which, various investigators provided explicit bounds on the unknown functions, [1, 2, 3, 4, 5] and the references given therein.

In this paper, we establish explicit bounds on some certain integral inequalities of *Gronwall* type .

MAIN RESULTS

In what follows , R denotes the set of real numbers and $R_+ = [0, \infty)$, is the subset of R , $I_1 = [x_0, x)$, $I_2 = [y_0, y)$, are the given subsets of R_+ , and $D = I_1 \times I_2$. The first order partial derivatives of a function $Z(x, y)$ defined for $x, y \in R$ with respect to x and y are denoted by $Z_x(x, y)$ and $Z_y(x, y)$ respectively. We use summation convention and throughout

this paper, all the functions and their partial derivatives which appear in the inequalities are assumed to be real-valued and all the integrals involved are of positive values and exist on the respective domains of their definitions.

Our main results are given in the following theorems .

Theorem 1.

Let $u(x, y), h(x, y)$ be nonnegative continuous functions and $k(x, y) > 1$, defined on D for $x_0 \leq s \leq x$, $y_0 \leq t \leq y$. Suppose that $k_x(x, y), k_y(x, y)$, and $k_{xy}(x, y)$ be nonnegative and continuous functions defined for $x, y \in R_+$. If

$$u(x, y) \leq k(x, y) + \int_{x_0}^x \int_{y_0}^y h(s, t) u(s, t) dt ds, \quad (1)$$

for $x, y, x_0, y_0 \in R_+$, then

$$u(x, y) \leq \frac{k(x_0, y)k(x, y_0)}{k(x_0, y_0)} \exp \{k(x, y) - k(x_0, y) - k(x, y_0) + k(x_0, y_0) + \int_{x_0}^x \int_{y_0}^y h(s, t) dt ds\}. \quad (2)$$

Proof : Define a function $Z(x, y)$ on D by the right hand side of (1)

$$Z(x, y) = k(x, y) + \int_{x_0}^x \int_{y_0}^y h(s, t) u(s, t) dt ds, \quad (3)$$

then $Z(x, y) > 1$. From (1), (3) we have

$$u(x, y) \leq Z(x, y) \quad \text{for } x, y \in D \quad (4)$$

Differentiating (3) with respect to x ,and then with respect to y we get

$$Z_x(x, y) = k_x(x, y) + \int_{y_0}^y h(x, t) u(x, t) dt ,$$

$$Z_{xy}(x, y) = k_{xy}(x, y) + h(x, y) u(x, y) \quad . \quad (5)$$

From (4) and (5) we have

$$Z_{xy}(x, y) \leq k_{xy}(x, y) + h(x, y) Z(x, y) \quad .$$

From which, we get

$$\frac{Z_{xy}(x, y)}{Z(x, y)} \leq \frac{k_{xy}(x, y)}{Z(x, y)} + h(x, y) \quad . \quad (6)$$

Since $k(x, y) > 1$, then from (3) and (6), we have

$$\frac{Z_{xy}(x, y)}{Z(x, y)} < k_{xy}(x, y) + h(x, y) \quad (7)$$

and since $Z_x(x, y) \geq 0$ and $Z_y(x, y) \geq 0$, it is easy to see that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left(\frac{Z_x}{Z} \right) \leq \frac{Z_{xy}}{Z} \quad ,$$

so from (7) we have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left(\frac{Z_x(x, y)}{Z(x, y)} \right) \leq k_{xy}(x, y) + h(x, y) \quad . \quad (8)$$

Integrating (8) with respect to y from y_0 to y , we get

$$\frac{Z_x(x, y)}{Z(x, y)} - \frac{Z_x(x, y_0)}{Z(x, y_0)} \leq k_x(x, y) - k_x(x, y_0) + \int_{y_0}^y h(x, t) dt \quad ,$$

and hence

$$\frac{Z_x(x, y)}{Z(x, y)} \leq \frac{Z_x(x, y_0)}{Z(x, y_0)} + k_x(x, y) - k_x(x, y_0) + \int_{y_0}^y h(x, t) dt \quad . \quad (9)$$

Integrating (9) with respect to x from x_0 to x , we obtain

$$\ln \frac{Z(x, y)}{Z(x_0, y)} \leq \ln \frac{Z(x, y_0)}{Z(x_0, y_0)} + k(x, y) - k(x_0, y) - k(x, y_0) + k(x_0, y_0) + \int_{x_0}^x \int_{y_0}^y h(s, t) dt ds \quad (10)$$

From (3), $Z(x, y_0) = k(x, y_0)$, $Z(x_0, y) = k(x_0, y)$, $Z(x_0, y_0) = k(x_0, y_0)$, then we get from (10) that

$$\ln \left\{ \frac{Z(x, y)}{k(x_0, y)} \times \frac{k(x_0, y_0)}{k(x, y_0)} \right\} \leq k(x, y) - k(x_0, y) - k(x, y_0) + k(x_0, y_0) + \int_{x_0}^x \int_{y_0}^y h(s, t) dt ds,$$

from which, we have

$$Z(x, y) \leq \frac{k(x_0, y)k(x, y_0)}{k(x_0, y_0)} \exp \left\{ k(x, y) - k(x_0, y) - k(x, y_0) + k(x_0, y_0) + \int_{x_0}^x \int_{y_0}^y h(s, t) dt ds \right\}. \quad (11)$$

Hence, from (11) and (4) we have the required result .

The following special cases conclude four results which can be obtained by suitable substitutions in Theorem 1 .

Special Cases I.

1) If we replace $k(x, y)$ by a constant $c > 1$, then from Theorem 1 , we have, if

$$u(x, y) \leq c + \int_{x_0}^x \int_{y_0}^y h(s, t) u(s, t) dt ds,$$

then

$$u(x, y) \leq c \exp \left(\int_{x_0}^x \int_{y_0}^y h(s, t) dt ds \right). \quad (12)$$

2) If we replace $k(x, y)$ by a function of one variable $k(x)$, then from Theorem 1, we have , if

$$u(x, y) \leq k(x) + \int_{x_0}^x \int_{y_0}^y h(s, t) u(s, t) dt ds,$$

and $k(x) > 1$, then

$$u(x, y) \leq k(x) \exp \left(\int_{x_0}^x \int_{y_0}^y h(s, t) dt ds \right). \quad (13)$$

3) If we replace $k(x, y)$ by $k(y)$, then from Theorem 1, we have, if

$$u(x, y) \leq k(y) + \int_{x_0}^x \int_{y_0}^y h(s, t) u(s, t) dt ds,$$

then

$$u(x, y) \leq k(y) \exp \left(\int_{x_0}^x \int_{y_0}^y h(s, t) dt ds \right). \quad (14)$$

where $k(x, y_0) = k(y_0)$, $k(x_0, y) = k(y)$, $k(x_0, y_0) = k(y_0)$.

4) If we replace $k(x, y)$ by $c + k(x) + k(y)$, then from Theorem 1, we have, if

$$u(x, y) \leq (c + k(x) + k(y)) + \int_{x_0}^x \int_{y_0}^y h(s, t) u(s, t) dt ds,$$

then

$$u(x, y) \leq \left(\frac{[c + k(x) + k(y_0)][c + k(x_0) + k(y)]}{c + k(x_0) + k(y_0)} \right) \exp\left(\int_{x_0}^x \int_{y_0}^y h(s, t) dt ds\right) \quad (15)$$

where

$$k(x, y_0) = c + k(x) + k(y_0), k(x_0, y) = c + k(x_0) + k(y), k(x_0, y_0) = c + k(x_0) + k(y_0).$$

We note that the proofs of (1)-(4) can be completed by following the proof of Theorem 1 with suitable changes .

In the following theorem, we consider functions of three independent variables .

Theorem 2.

Let $u(x, y, z), h(x, y, z)$ be nonnegative continuous functions and

$k(x, y, z) > 1$, defined for $x, y, z \in R_+$. Suppose that the derivatives

$k_x(x, y, z)$, $k_y(x, y, z)$, $k_{xy}(x, y, z)$, $k_{xyz}(x, y, z)$, be nonnegative and continuous functions defined for $x, y, z \in R_+$. If

$$u(x, y, z) \leq k(x, y, z) + \int_{x_0}^x \int_{y_0}^y \int_{z_0}^z h(r, s, t) u(r, s, t) dt ds dr \quad (16)$$

for $x, y, z, x_0, y_0, z_0 \in R_+$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
u(x, y, z) \leq & \frac{k(x_0, y, z)k(x, y_0, z)k(x, y, z_0)k(x_0, y_0, z_0)}{k(x_0, y_0, z)k(x_0, y, z_0)k(x, y_0, z_0)} \exp\{ k(x, y, z) - \\
& k(x_0, y, z) - k(x, y_0, z) + k(x_0, y_0, z) - k(x, y, z_0) + k(x_0, y, z_0) + k(x, y_0, z_0) - \\
& k(x_0, y_0, z_0) + \int_{x_0}^x \int_{y_0}^y \int_{z_0}^z h(r, s, t) dt ds dr \}. \quad (17)
\end{aligned}$$

Proof : Define a function $W(x, y, z)$ by the right hand side of (16),

$$W(x, y, z) = k(x, y, z) + \int_{x_0}^x \int_{y_0}^y \int_{z_0}^z h(r, s, t) u(r, s, t) dt ds dr, \quad (18)$$

then

$W(x, y, z) > 0$ for $x, y, z \in R_+$, and from (16), (18), we have

$$u(x, y, z) \leq W(x, y, z) \quad (19)$$

Differentiating (18) with respect to x , with respect to y and then with respect to z , respectively gives

$$W_x(x, y, z) = k_x(x, y, z) + \int_{y_0}^y \int_{z_0}^z h(x, s, t) u(x, s, t) dt ds, \quad (20)$$

$$W_{xy}(x, y, z) = k_{xy}(x, y, z) + \int_{z_0}^z h(x, y, t) u(x, y, t) dt, \quad (21)$$

$$W_{xyz}(x, y, z) = k_{xyz}(x, y, z) + h(x, y, z) u(x, y, z). \quad (22)$$

From (19) and (22), we have

$$W_{xyz}(x, y, z) \leq k_{xyz}(x, y, z) + h(x, y, z) W(x, y, z). \quad (23)$$

and from (23), we get

$$\frac{W_{xyz}(x, y, z)}{W(x, y, z)} \leq \frac{k_{xyz}(x, y, z)}{W(x, y, z)} + h(x, y, z). \quad (24)$$

Since $k(x, y, z) \geq 1$, we have

$$\frac{W_{xyz}(x, y, z)}{W(x, y, z)} \leq k_{xyz}(x, y, z) + h(x, y, z). \quad (25)$$

Since $W_z(x, y, z) > 0$ and $W_{xy}(x, y, z) > 0$, it easy to see that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left(\frac{W_{xy}}{W} \right) \leq \frac{W_{xyz}}{W}, \quad (26)$$

then from (25) and (26), we obtain

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left(\frac{W_{xy}(x, y, z)}{W(x, y, z)} \right) \leq k_{xyz}(x, y, z) + h(x, y, z). \quad (27)$$

Integrating (27) with respect to z from z_0 to z , we get

$$\frac{W_{xy}(x, y, z)}{W(x, y, z)} - \frac{W_{xy}(x, y, z_0)}{W(x, y, z_0)} \leq k_{xy}(x, y, z) - k_{xy}(x, y, z_0) + \int_{z_0}^z h(x, y, t) dt \quad (28)$$

Now from (21) and (18) we have

$$W_{xy}(x, y, z_0) = k_{xy}(x, y, z_0), \quad W(x, y, z_0) = k(x, y, z_0),$$

hence, from (28), we obtain

$$\frac{W_{xy}(x, y, z)}{W(x, y, z)} - \frac{k_{xy}(x, y, z_0)}{k(x, y, z_0)} \leq K_{xy}(x, y, z) - K_{xy}(x, y, z_0) + \int_{z_0}^z h(x, y, t) dt. \quad (29)$$

Since

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left[\frac{W_x(x, y, z)}{W(x, y, z)} - \frac{k_x(x, y, z_0)}{k(x, y, z_0)} \right] = \left[\frac{W_{xy}(x, y, z)}{W(x, y, z)} - \frac{k_{xy}(x, y, z_0)}{k(x, y, z_0)} \right] - \left[\frac{W_x(x, y, z)W_y(x, y, z)}{W^2(x, y, z)} - \frac{k_x(x, y, z_0)k_y(x, y, z_0)}{k^2(x, y, z_0)} \right], \quad (30)$$

$$\text{and} \quad \frac{W_x(x, y, z)W_y(x, y, z)}{W^2(x, y, z)} \geq \frac{k_x(x, y, z_0)k_y(x, y, z_0)}{k^2(x, y, z_0)}, \quad (31)$$

we get from (30) , (31), that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left(\frac{W_x(x, y, z)}{W(x, y, z)} - \frac{k_x(x, y, z_0)}{k(x, y, z_0)} \right) \leq \frac{W_{xy}(x, y, z)}{W(x, y, z)} - \frac{k_{xy}(x, y, z_0)}{k(x, y, z_0)}, \quad (32)$$

Now from (29) and (32), we obtain

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left(\frac{W_x(x, y, z)}{W(x, y, z)} - \frac{k_x(x, y, z_0)}{k(x, y, z_0)} \right) \leq k_{xy}(x, y, z) - k_{xy}(x, y, z_0) + \int_{z_0}^z h(x, y, t) dt. \quad (33)$$

Integrating (33) with respect to y from y_0 to y , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{W_x(x, y, z)}{W(x, y, z)} - \frac{W_x(x, y_0, z)}{W(x, y_0, z)} &\leq \frac{k_x(x, y, z_0)}{k(x, y, z_0)} - \frac{k_x(x, y_0, z_0)}{k(x, y_0, z_0)} + k_x(x, y, z) - k_x(x, y_0, z) \\ &- k_x(x, y, z_0) + k_x(x, y_0, z_0) + \int_{y_0}^y \int_{z_0}^z h(x, s, t) dt ds \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

From (20),

$$W_x(x, y_0, z) = k_x(x, y_0, z), \quad W(x, y_0, z) = k(x, y_0, z),$$

from which and (34), we get

$$\frac{W_x(x, y, z)}{W(x, y, z)} - \frac{k_x(x, y_0, z)}{k(x, y_0, z)} \leq \frac{k_x(x, y, z_0)}{k(x, y, z_0)} - \frac{k_x(x, y_0, z_0)}{k(x, y_0, z_0)} + k_x(x, y, z) - k_x(x, y_0, z) -$$

$$k_x(x, y, z_0) + k_x(x, y_0, z_0) + \int_{y_0}^y \int_{z_0}^z h(x, s, t) dt ds \quad (35)$$

Integrating (35) with respect to x from x_0 to x , we have

$$\ln \left(\frac{W(x, y, z)}{W(x_0, y, z)} \times \frac{k(x_0, y_0, z)}{k(x, y_0, z)} \right) - \ln \left(\frac{k(x, y, z_0)}{k(x_0, y, z_0)} \times \frac{k(x_0, y_0, z_0)}{k(x, y_0, z_0)} \right)$$

$$\leq k(x, y, z) - k(x_0, y, z) - k(x, y_0, z) + k(x_0, y_0, z) - k(x, y, z_0) +$$

$$k(x_0, y, z_0) + k(x, y_0, z_0) - k(x_0, y_0, z_0) + \int_{x_0}^x \int_{y_0}^y \int_{z_0}^z h(r, s, t) dt ds dr, \quad (36)$$

from which we obtain

$$W(x, y, z) \leq \frac{k(x_0, y, z)k(x, y_0, z)k(x, y, z_0)k(x_0, y_0, z_0)}{k(x_0, y_0, z)k(x_0, y, z_0)k(x, y_0, z_0)} \exp \{ k(x, y, z) -$$

$$k(x_0, y, z) - k(x, y_0, z) + k(x_0, y_0, z) - k(x, y, z_0) + k(x_0, y, z_0) +$$

$$k(x, y_0, z_0) - k(x_0, y_0, z_0) + \int_{x_0}^x \int_{y_0}^y \int_{z_0}^z h(r, s, t) dt ds dr \}. \quad (37)$$

Hence, from (37) and (19), we have the required result .

The following special cases conclude five results which can be obtained by suitable substitutions in Theorem 2 .

Special Cases II.

1) If we replace $k(x, y, z)$ by a constant $c > 1$, then from Theorem 2 , we have, if

$$u(x, y, z) \leq c + \int_{x_0}^x \int_{y_0}^y \int_{z_0}^z h(r, s, t) u(r, s, t) dt ds dr,$$

then

$$u(x, y, z) \leq c \exp \left(\int_{x_0}^x \int_{y_0}^y \int_{z_0}^z h(r, s, t) dt ds dr \right). \quad (38)$$

2) If we replace $k(x, y, z)$ by $k(x) > 1$, then from Theorem 2, we have, if

$$u(x, y, z) \leq k(x) + \int_{x_0}^x \int_{y_0}^y \int_{z_0}^z h(r, s, t) u(r, s, t) dt ds dr,$$

then

$$u(x, y, z) \leq k(x) \exp \left(\int_{x_0}^x \int_{y_0}^y \int_{z_0}^z h(r, s, t) dt ds dr \right). \quad (39)$$

3) If we replace $k(x, y, z)$ by $k(y) > 1$, then from Theorem 2, we have, if

$$u(x, y, z) \leq k(y) + \int_{x_0}^x \int_{y_0}^y \int_{z_0}^z h(r, s, t) u(r, s, t) dt ds dr,$$

then

$$u(x, y, z) \leq k(y) \exp \left(\int_{x_0}^x \int_{y_0}^y \int_{z_0}^z h(r, s, t) dt ds dr \right). \quad (40)$$

4) If we replace $k(x, y, z)$ by $c + k(x) + k(y)$, then from Theorem 2, we have, if

$$u(x, y, z) \leq (c + k(x) + k(y)) + \int_{x_0}^x \int_{y_0}^y \int_{z_0}^z h(r, s, t) u(r, s, t) dt ds dr,$$

then

$$u(x, y, z) \leq (c + k(x) + k(y)) \exp \left(\int_{x_0}^x \int_{y_0}^y \int_{z_0}^z h(r, s, t) dt ds dr \right). \quad (41)$$

5) If we replace $k(x,y,z)$ by $k(x,y)$, then from Theorem 2, we have, if

$$u(x, y, z) \leq k(x, y) + \int_{x_0}^x \int_{y_0}^y \int_{z_0}^z h(r, s, t) u(r, s, t) dt ds dr,$$

then

$$u(x, y, z) \leq k(x, y) \exp \left(\int_{x_0}^x \int_{y_0}^y \int_{z_0}^z h(r, s, t) dt ds dr \right). \quad (42)$$

We note that the proofs of (1)-(5) can be completed by following the proof of Theorem 2 with suitable changes .

APPLICATIONS

In this section we present some applications of Theorem 1 and Theorem 2. We aim to study certain qualitative properties of solutions of hyperbolic partial differential equations .

Example 1.

Let $u_{xy}(x, y) = h(x, y)u(x, y)$, where

$$u_x(x, y_0) = 0, \quad u(x_0, y) = c, \quad c > 1, \quad h(x, y) \leq xy,$$

then

$$u_{xy}(x, y) \leq xy u(x, y) \quad (43)$$

Integrating (43) with respect to y from y_0 to y , and with respect to x from x_0 to x we get

$$u(x, y) \leq c + \int_{x_0}^x \int_{y_0}^y stu(s, t) dt ds,$$

then by Theorem 1 with $k(x, y) = c$,

$$u(x, y) \leq c \exp \left(\frac{1}{4} (x^2 - x_0^2)(y^2 - y_0^2) \right).$$

Example 2.

Let $k(x, y) = \frac{1}{2}(x^2 - x_0^2) = k(x)$, for $\frac{1}{2}(x^2 - x_0^2) > 1$. Consider the equation

$$u_{xy}(x, y) = h(x, y)u(x, y),$$

where

$$u_x(x, y_0) = x, u(x_0, y) = 0, h(x, y) \leq ye^x,$$

then

$$u_{xy}(x, y) \leq ye^x u(x, y) \quad (44)$$

Integrating (44) with respect to y from y_0 to y , and with respect to x from x_0 to x we get

$$u(x, y) \leq \frac{1}{2}(x^2 - x_0^2) + \int_{x_0}^x \int_{y_0}^y te^s u(s, t) dt ds,$$

then by Theorem 1,

$$u(x, y) \leq \frac{1}{2}(x^2 - x_0^2) \exp\left(\frac{1}{2}(y^2 - y_0^2)(e^x - e^{x_0})\right), \text{ for } y \geq 0, x > \sqrt{2 + x_0^2}.$$

Example 3.

Let $k(x, y) = c + k(x) + k(y)$, where

$$c = \frac{1}{2}(x_0^2 - y_0^2), k(x) = \frac{1}{2}x^2, k(y) = \frac{1}{2}y^2,$$

and $\frac{1}{2}(x_0^2 + y_0^2) + \frac{1}{2}(x^2 + y^2) > 1$. Consider the equation

$$u_{xy}(x, y) = h(x, y)u(x, y),$$

where

$$u_x(x, y_0) = x, u(x_0, y) = x_0^2 + \frac{1}{2}y_0^2 + \frac{1}{2}y^2, h(x, y) \leq xy \text{ then}$$

$$u_{xy}(x, y) \leq xy u(x, y) \quad (45)$$

Integrating (45) with respect to y from y_0 to y , and with respect to x from x_0 to x we have

$$u(x, y) \leq \frac{1}{2}(x_0^2 + y_0^2) + \frac{1}{2}(x^2 + y^2) + \int_{x_0}^x \int_{y_0}^y stu(s, t) dt ds,$$

then by Theorem 1,

$$u(x, y) \leq \frac{(\frac{1}{2}x_0^2 + y_0^2 + \frac{1}{2}x^2)(x_0^2 + \frac{1}{2}y_0^2 + \frac{1}{2}y^2)}{(x_0^2 + y_0^2)} \exp\left(\frac{1}{4}(x^2 - x_0^2)(y^2 - y_0^2)\right),$$

$$x_0, y_0 \in (0, \infty), \quad x^2 + y^2 > 2 - x_0^2 - y_0^2.$$

Example 4.

Let $k(x, y) = \frac{1}{4}(x^2 + x_0^2)y^2$, for $(x^2 + x_0^2)y^2 > 4$. Consider the equation

$$u_{xy}(x, y) = h(x, y)u(x, y) + xy, \quad \text{where } h(x, y) \leq x + y, \quad u_x(x, y_0) = \frac{1}{2}xy_0^2,$$

$$u(x_0, y) = \frac{1}{2}x_0^2y^2, \quad \text{we have}$$

$$u_{xy}(x, y) \leq (x + y)u(x, y) + xy. \quad (46)$$

Integrating (46) with respect to y from y_0 to y , and with respect to x from x_0 to x ,

we have

$$u(x, y) \leq \frac{1}{4}(x^2 + x_0^2)y^2 + \int_{x_0}^x \int_{y_0}^y (s + t)u(s, t) dt ds,$$

then by Theorem 1,

$$u(x, y) \leq \frac{1}{4}(x^2 + x_0^2)y^2 \exp\left(k(x, y) - k(x_0, y) - k(x, y_0) + k(x_0, y_0) + \int_{x_0}^x \int_{y_0}^y (s + t) dt ds\right),$$

and then

$$u(x, y) \leq \frac{1}{4}(x^2 + x_0^2)y^2 \exp\left((x^2 - x_0^2)(y - y_0)(y + y_0 + 1) + (y^2 - y_0^2)(x - x_0)(x + x_0 + 1)\right).$$

Example 5.

Let $u_{xyz}(x, y, z) = h(x, y, z)u(x, y, z) + 8xyz$, where $h(x, y, z) \leq 2(x + y + z)$,
 $u_{xy}(x, y, z_0) = 4xyz_0^2$, $u_x(x, y_0, z) = 2xz^2y_0^2$, $u(x_0, y, z) = x_0^2z^2y^2$, then

$$u_{xyz}(x, y, z) \leq 2(x + y + z)u(x, y, z) + 8xyz. \quad (47)$$

Integrating (47) with respect to z from z_0 to z , with respect to y from y_0 to y , and with respect to x from x_0 to x we have

$$u(x, y, z) \leq x^2 y^2 z^2 + \int_{x_0}^x \int_{y_0}^y \int_{z_0}^z 2(r + s + t)u(r, s, t) dt ds dr,$$

then by Theorem 2 with $k(x, y, z) = x^2 y^2 z^2$, for $x^2 y^2 z^2 > 1$,

$$u(x, y, z) \leq x^2 y^2 z^2 \exp(k(x, y, z) - k(x_0, y, z) - k(x, y_0, z) + k(x_0, y_0, z) -$$

$$k(x, y, z_0) + k(x_0, y, z_0) + k(x, y_0, z_0) - k(x_0, y_0, z_0) +$$

$$\int_{x_0}^x \int_{y_0}^y \int_{z_0}^z 2(r + s + t) dt ds dr),$$

so

$$u(x, y, z) \leq x^2 y^2 z^2 \exp[(x^2 - x_0^2)(y^2 - y_0^2)(z^2 - z_0^2) +$$

$$(x - x_0)(y - y_0)(z - z_0)(x + y + z - x_0 - y_0 - z_0)].$$

REFERENCES

1. T. H. GRONWALL, *Ann. Math.*, **1919**, 20, 292-296.
2. B.G. PACHPATTE, "Inequalities for Differential and Integral Equations", Academic Press, New York, 1998.
3. B.G. PACHPATTE, *J. Math. Anal. & Appl.*, **2002**, 267, 48-61
4. D.S. MITRINOVIC, "Analytic Inequalities", Springer, Berlin, 1970.
5. D. BAINOV AND P. SIMEONOV, "Integral Inequalities and Applications", Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1992.